## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 14-0651- TEH
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
LUIS CONZALO CAUICH CARBAJAL	) )
Defendant.	)
Speedy Trial Act from Jawas 9, 2015 to Fe-	, 2015, the Court excludes time under the BEUARY 23, 2015 and finds that the ends of justice served bublic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § sees this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expende	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ad by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	1 0 110
DATED: $1 - 9 - 15$	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for/Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney